



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A/D  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,200	06/21/2001	Isamu Tobita	JP920000070US1	8666

7590 08/14/2002  
Lawrence R. Fraley  
IBM Corporation, N50/040-4  
1701 North Street  
Endicott, NY 13760

EXAMINER

CHAU, MINH H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2854

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/886,200

Applicant(s)

TOBITA, ISAMU

Examiner

Minh H Chau

Art Unit

2854

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert et al. (US # 3,866,533) in view of Ohsawa et al. (US # 4,774,882).

**With respect to claims 1 and 2**, Gilbert et al. teach an impact printer (10) comprising print hammers or pins (20) for providing an impact and a pulse control circuit or an impact force controller (40) for controlling the pulse width or the impact force of the hammers or pins (see cols. 2-3 of Gilbert et al.).

**With respect to the language “drive means ... magnetic force” (last two paragraph of claim 2)**, Gilbert et al. teach in cols. 2-4 that impact force of the hammers (20) is actuated by an electromagnetic actuating means that generated by a voltage source or electricity, and the impact force of the hammers (20) is changed according to changes of the magnetic force that generated by the electromagnetic actuating means.

Gilbert et al. teach all the limitations as explained above to claims 1 and 2, except for the limitation of the impact force of the pins is changing accordance with the settings for characters that are to be printed.

Ohsawa et al. teach an impact printer comprising an energizing pulse generator or an impact force controller (36) for controlling the impact force of the hammer (16) accordance to the settings for characters to be printed (see col. 6 of Ohsawa et al.).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Gilbert et al. with an impact force controller that taught by Ohsawa et al. so that a design density for a selected character can be achieved.

**With respect to claim 3**, see cols. 3-4 and claim 1 of Gilbert et al. that teach the pulse control circuit or the impact force controller (40) for controlling the voltage that supply to the electromagnetic actuating means of the print hammers (20).

**With respect to claims 10 and 11**, Gilbert et al. teach a method and a printing controller for a printer comprising print hammers or pins (20) for print a plurality of dots to form characters of a sheet, a scan drivers or data analyzer (28, 30) for identifying or determining the information or character to be printed and a pulse control circuit or a printer head controller (40) for controlling the impact force of the print hammers or pins (20) (see cols. 2-4 of Gilbert et al.).

Gilbert et al. teach all the limitations as explained above to claims 10 and 11, except for The limitation of “generating impact ... character set” (claim 10) and “a printer controller ... the pins” (claim 11).

Ohsawa et al. teach an impact printer comprising an energizing pulse generator or an impact force controller (36) for controlling the impact force of the hammer (16) accordance to the type of characters set which is identified or determined by the CPU or data analyzer (32) (see col. 6 of Ohsawa et al.).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Gilbert et al. with a pulse control circuit or a printer head controller (40) that taught by Ohsawa et al. so that a design density for a selected character can be achieved.

3. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quaif et al. (US # 4,020,939) in view of Ohsawa et al. (US # 4,774,882).

**With respect to claims 4 and 6**, Quaif et al. teach a matrix printer or a form printer (10) comprising a plurality of print hammers or pins for impacting a printing media or a form, a drive unit (18) for driving the hammers or pins traverses the printing media or the form during printing, a controller (22) for controlling the drive unit and for controlling or changing the impact force of the hammers or pins according to the character pattern (see cols. 2-4 of Quaif et al.). With respect to the recitation of "a platen", although Quaif et al. do not specifically mentioned the used of a platen, it is clear to one of ordinary in the art that the matrix printer of Quaif et al. must comprising a platen so that the print hammers can properly impact the character on the printing media or the form, it is also noted that the uses of a platen in a matrix printer is well known in the art.

Quaif et al. teach all the limitations as explained above to, except for the limitation of the impact force of the pins is changing accordance with the settings for characters that are to be printed.

Ohsawa et al. teach an impact printer comprising an energizing pulse generator or an impact force controller (36) for controlling the impact force of the hammer (16) accordance to the settings for characters to be printed (see col. 6 of Ohsawa et al.).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Quaif et al. with an impact force controller that taught by Ohsawa et al. so that a design density for a selected character can be achieved.

**With respect to the recitation of “changing ... impact force” (lines 5-11 of claim 6),** Ohsawa et al. teach an impact printer comprising an energizing pulse generator or an impact force controller (36) for controlling the impact force of the hammer (16) accordance to the settings for characters to be printed, the controller controlling the impact force of the print hammer by reducing or increasing the impact force of the print hammer according to the high density printing mode (thick characters) or normal density printing mode (fine characters) (see cols. 4-8 of Ohsawa et al.).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Quaif et al. with an impact force controller for controlling the impact force of the print hammer in according to the high or normal density printing mode that taught by Ohsawa et al. so that a design density for a selected character can be achieved.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quaif et al. and Ohsawa et al. as explained to claim 4 above, and in view of The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin (NN79034110)

With respect to claim 5, the modified device of Quaif et al. and Ohsawa et al. teach all the limitations as explained above to claim 4, except for the moving velocity of the pins is changed in order to alter the impact force.

The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin teach an electronic control of print impact in a typewriters including control means for assigning discrete impact force or impact velocities to each character font (page 4110-4112).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Quaif et al. and Ohsawa et al. with the control means for assigning discrete impact force or impact velocities to each character font that taught by The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin so that the impact force for the selected character can be precisely achieved.

5. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert et al. (US # 3,866,533) in view of Kobayashi et al. (US # 4,566,813).

**With respect to claims 7 and 8**, Gilbert et al. teach an impact printer (10) comprising print hammers or pins (20) for providing an impact force and a pulse control circuit or an impact force controller (40) for controlling the pulse width or the impact force of the hammers or pins (see cols. 2-3 of Gilbert et al.).

Gilbert et al. teach all the limitations as explained above, except for the limitation of changing the impact force of the pins according to the number of dots that arranged across the widths of lines forming the print image.

Kobayashi et al. teach a dot matrix printer controller comprising a control circuit for controlling the pulse width current applied to the print heads in according to the total number of dots used to print character (print image) or a number of dots that arranged across the widths of lines forming the print image (see cols. 3-5 of Kobayashi et al.).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the impact force controller of Gilbert et al. to include the control circuit for controlling the pulse width current applied to the print heads in according to the total number of dots used to print character as taught by Kobayashi et al. so that the thickness or the print density of a selected character or image can be consistency maintained.

**With respect to claim 9 and the recitation of “the impact force... object image” (lines 6-8 of claim 8),** Kobayashi et al. teach a control circuit comprising a upper limit or a lower limit mode for control of increasing or decreasing the width of the applied pulse in according to the total of dots used to print character (print image) or a number of dots that arranged across the widths of lines forming the print image (see cols. 3-6 of Kobayashi et al.).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the impact force controller of Gilbert et al. to include the control circuit comprising a upper limit or a lower limit mode for control of increasing or decreasing the width of the applied pulse in according to the total of dots used to print character as taught by Kobayashi et al. so that print quality such as thickness or print density can be consistency maintained during the printing of a selected character.

6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert et al. (US # 3,866,533) and Ohsawa et al. (US # 4,774,882) in view of The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin (NN79034110)

**With respect to claims 12 and 13,** Gilbert et al. teach a method and a printing controller for a printer comprising print hammers or pins (20) for print a plurality of dots to form characters



of a sheet, a scan drivers or data analyzer (28, 30) for identifying or determining the information or character to be printed and a pulse control circuit or a printer head controller (40) for controlling the impact force of the print hammers or pins (20) (see cols. 2-4 of Gilbert et al.).

Gilbert et al. teach all the limitations as explained above, except for the limitation of changing the impact force of the pins according to the type of character set determining by the data analyzer.

Ohsawa et al. teach an impact printer comprising an energizing pulse generator or an impact force controller (36) for controlling the impact force of the hammer (16) according to the type of characters set which is identified or determined by the CPU or data analyzer (32) (see col. 6 of Ohsawa et al.).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Gilbert et al. with a pulse control circuit or a printer head controller (40) that taught by Ohsawa et al. so that a design density for a selected character can be achieved.

**The modified device of Gilbert et al. and Ohsawa et al.** teach all the limitations as explained above, except for limitation of the impact force of the pins is changing or being selected to a designated setup value corresponds to a character font determining by the data analyzer.

The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin teach an electronic control of print impact in a typewriters including control means for assigning discrete impact force or impact velocities to each character font (page 4110-4112).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Gilbert et al. and Ohsawa et al. with the control means for assigning

Art Unit: 2854

discrete impact force or impact velocities to each character font that taught by The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin so that the print quality of a variety of character font can be achieved.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Applicant's attention is invited to the patents to Torigoe (JP 57-159663) and Yonetani et al. (JP 03-121859).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh H Chau whose telephone number is (703) 305-0298. The examiner can normally be reached on M - TH from 9:30AM – 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-5841 for regular communications and (703) 308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MHC  
August 12, 2002

